UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

Form 4. Motion and Affidavit for Permission to Proceed in Forma Pauperis

Instructions for this form: http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/forms/form04instructions.pdf

9th Cir. Cas	e Number(s) 23-15080
Case Name	COLVIN VS. TAKO LLC
financially unappeal has m	support of motion: I swear under penalty of perjury that I am nable to pay the docket and filing fees for my appeal. I believe my nerit. I swear under penalty of perjury under United States laws that on this form are true and correct. 28 U.S.C. § 1746; 18 U.S.C. § 1621.
Signature	Part 1/2 Date 3/21/2023
The court ma	ay grant a motion to proceed in forma nauneris if you show that you

The court may grant a motion to proceed in forma pauperis if you show that you cannot pay the filing fees **and** you have a non-frivolous legal issue on appeal. Please state your issues on appeal. (attach additional pages if necessary)

COLVIN, believes judge Silva abused her discreation and denied the plaintiff due process by denying him the opportunity to respond to her court order. Judge Silva had issued an oder for plaintiff Colvin to show cause why he should not be declared a vexatious litigant. Colvin response was due 12/09/2022 but before response became due Judge Silva closed Colvin case on 12/05/2022 Please see Exhibits #1-2.

When Judge Silva ordered Colvin case closed at the time she did not issue an order declaring Colvin a vexatious litigant. All Colvin knew was that his case was closed Colvin only became aware that Judge Silva had declared Colvin a vexatious litigant was in a unrelated case from Judge Gordon mentioning it in his order is how Colvin even became aware of Judge Silva order.

It was some 2-3 weeks later that Colvin recieved Judge Silva order Colvin believe his due procees rights were violated because once Judge Silva dimissed his case he had know knowledge that Judge Silva had issued said order. Colvin also contends this is an act of abuse of discretion. Colvin believe his arguments have merit and he should be allowed to proceed in forma pauperis.

1. For both you and your spouse, estimate the average amount of money received from each of the following sources during the past 12 months. Adjust any amount that was received weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate. Use gross amounts, that is, amounts before any deductions for taxes or otherwise.

	Average monthly the past 1		Amount expec	ted next month
Income Source	You	Spouse	You	Spouse
Employment	\$ 0	\$	\$ 0	\$
Self-Employment	\$ 0	\$	\$ 0	\$
Income from real property (such as rental income)	\$ 0	\$	\$ 0	\$
Interest and Dividends	\$ 0	\$	\$ 0	\$
Gifts	\$ 0	\$	\$ 0	\$
Alimony	\$ 0	\$	\$ 0	\$
Child Support	\$ 0	\$	\$ O	\$
Retirement (such as social security, pensions, annuities, insurance)	\$ 0	\$	\$ 0	\$
Disability (such as social security, insurance payments)	\$ 0	\$	\$ 0	\$
Unemployment Payments	\$ 0	\$	\$ 0	\$
Public-Assistance (such as welfare)	\$ 0	\$	\$ 0	\$
Other (specify) family	\$ 1400.00	\$	\$ 1400.00	\$
TOTAL MONTHLY INCOME:	\$ 1400.00	\$	\$ 1400.00	\$

2. List your employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of Employment	Gross Monthly Pay
NONE		From To	\$
		From To	\$
		From To	\$
		From To	\$

3. List your spouse's employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of Employment	Gross Monthly Pay
NONE		From	\$
		То	
		From	\$
		То	
		From	\$
		То	
		From	\$
		То	L

Feedback or questions about this form? Email us at forms a ca9 uscourts gov

Financial Institution	Type of Account	Amount You Have	Amount Your Spous Has
ONE		\$	\$
		\$	\$
		\$] s
		\$	\$
tement certified by the appring the last six months in the last six months in the last in the last in the last	oropriate institutional office your institutional accounts stitutions, attach one certifications, which you own or you	s. If you have multiple acc ed statement of each acco	ounts, perhaps because unt.
ring the last six months in u have been in multiple in	your institutional accounts stitutions, attach one certifi	s. If you have multiple acc ed statement of each acco	ounts, perhaps because unt.
tement certified by the appring the last six months in the last the assets, and their volume the last six the last six and the last six which is the last six and last six a	your institutional accounts stitutions, attach one certifications, which you own or you	s. If you have multiple accided statement of each according to the statement of each according to the spouse owns. Do not list	ounts, perhaps because unt. clothing and ordinary
tement certified by the appring the last six months in whave been in multiple in List the assets, and their vousehold furnishing. Home	your institutional accounts stitutions, attach one certifications, which you own or you	s. If you have multiple accided statement of each according to the statement of each according to the spouse owns. Do not list	ounts, perhaps because unt. clothing and ordinary
tement certified by the appring the last six months in the last six months in the have been in multiple in the last the assets, and their volusehold furnishing. Home	your institutional accounts stitutions, attach one certifi ulues, which you own or you Value	s. If you have multiple accided statement of each according to the statement of each according to the spouse owns. Do not list	ounts, perhaps because unt. clothing and ordinary Value
tement certified by the appring the last six months in the last six months in the have been in multiple in the last the assets, and their volusehold furnishing. Home	your institutional accounts stitutions, attach one certific ulues, which you own or you Value \$	s. If you have multiple accided statement of each according to the statement of each according to the spouse owns. Do not list	ounts, perhaps because unt. clothing and ordinary Value
tement certified by the appring the last six months in a have been in multiple in List the assets, and their vousehold furnishing. Home ONE	your institutional accounts stitutions, attach one certific ulues, which you own or you Value \$	of the Real Estate	ounts, perhaps because unt. clothing and ordinary Value

\$ 150.00

4. How much cash do you and your spouse have?

Feedback or questions about this form? Email us at forms a ca9 uscourts, gov

Other Ass	sets	Value
NONE		\$
		\$
		\$
6. State every person, business, or organiza	tion owing you or your spouse mon	ey, and the amount owed.
Person owing you or your spouse	Amount owed to you	Amount owed to your spouse
NONE	\$	\$
	s	\$
	\$	\$
7. State the persons who rely on you or you and not the full name.	r spouse for support. If a dependent	is a minor, list only the initials
Name	Relationship	Age
EC	SON	10
MC	DAUGHTER	5
PC	SON	16

Feedback or questions about this form? Email us at torms a va9.uscounts.gov

8. Estimate the average monthly expenses of you and your family. Show separately the amounts paid by your spouse. Adjust any payments that are made weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate.

	You	Spouse
Rent or home-mortgage payment (include lot rented for mobile home)	\$ [1800.00	\$
- Are real estate taxes included? CYes CNo - Is property insurance included? CYes CNo		
Utilities (electricity, heating fuel, water, sewer, and telephone)	\$ 300.00	\$
Home maintenance (repairs and upkeep)	\$ [150.00	\$
Food	\$ 300.00	\$
Clothing	\$ 300.00	\$
Laundry and dry-cleaning	\$ 100.00	\$
Medical and dental expenses	\$ 0	\$ []
Transportation (not including motor vehicle payments)	\$ 100.00	\$
Recreation, entertainment, newspapers, magazines, etc.	\$ 70.00	\$
Insurance (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments)		
- Homeowner's or renter's	\$ 0	\$
- Life	\$ 0	\$
- Health	\$ 0	\$
- Motor Vehicle	\$ 0	\$
- Other	\$	\$
Taxes (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments)		
Specify	\$	\$

•	You	Spouse	
Installment payments		······································	
- Motor Vehicle	\$ 0	\$	
- Credit Card (name) NONE	\$	\$	
- Department Store (name) NONE	\$	\$	
Alimony, maintenance, and support paid to others	\$	\$	
Regular expenses for the operation of business, profession, or farm (attach detailed statement)	\$	\$	
Other (specify) NONE	\$	\$	
TOTAL MONTHLY EXPENSES	\$ 0	\$	
If Yes, describe on an attached sheet. 10. Have you spent—or will you be spending—any money for expenses or attorney fees in connection with this lawsuit? • Yes			
12. State the city and state of your legal residence.			
City LAS VEGAS State NEV	ADA		
Your daytime phone number (ex., 415-355-8000) (725) 800-6093			
Your age 53 Your years of schooling 11			
Enablank or quartions about this form? Email us at towns a			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

FILED JOCKETED INITIAL

Parnell Colvin,

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

24

25

26

Case No. 2:22-cv-01928-CDS-NJK

Plaintiff

Order Granting Motion to Remand, Denying Motion for Removal, and Closing Case

Tako, LLC,

v.

IECF Nos. 2, 41

Defendant

Pro se plaintiff Parnell Colvin seeks, for the fourth time, to remove to this court the same eviction action brought against him by defendant Tako, LLC in the Las Vegas Justice Court. Removal Mot., ECF No. 2. Colvin alleges that this court has federal-question jurisdiction under 14 28 U.S.C. \$\sigma\$ 1331 and 1441(b). *ld.* He also alleges that this court has supplemental jurisdiction 15 under 28 U.S.C. § 1367. Id. Tako filed an emergency motion to remand to state court. Remand 16 Mot., ECF No. 4. Tako contends that this court lacks jurisdiction because there is no diversity of 17 citizenship, as Colvin and the members of Tako are all residents of Clark County, Nevada. Id. at 18 3. And it alleges that there is no federal question, as this is a simple summary-eviction matter. Id. at 3. In his response, Colvin argues that Tako "illegally created a false fake lease contract, stole[] 19 20 Ms. Brown['s] identity which is identity theft, then forged her personal information on a[n] 21 illegal[ly] created contract and signed her name." Resp., ECF No. 8 at 4. For the reasons set forth 22 herein, I hereby deny Colvin's motion for removal and grant Tako's motion to remand to state 23 court.

Discussion

1 I.

21

As a threshold matter, this court has no jurisdiction over this action. There is no federalquestion jurisdiction over the underlying litigation. United States District Courts have original federal-question jurisdiction "of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 1331. However, an action "arises under" federal law only if the federal question appears on the face of the complaint. Here, Colvin alleges that federal-question jurisdiction exists based on Tako's violation of criminal statutes 18 U.S.C. 🕸 1028 and 1343. Compl., ECF No. 1 at 2; see also ECF No. 8 at 4-5. Yet for Colvin to "allege a claim under a federal statute, the statute must provide for a private right of action." Riley v. Quality Loan Serv. Corp., 2019 10 WL 157838, at *2 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 10, 2019) (see Touche Ross & Co. v. Redington, 442 U.S. 560, 568 11 (1979)). For a private right of action to exist, the legislature must expressly state in a statute 12 that private persons have the right to sue if someone violates the law. The Ninth Circuit has held 13 that the criminal wire-fraud statute, 18 U.S.C. \$ 1343, "does not evince an intent by Congress to create a private right of action." Id; see also Chen v. T.T. Group, 2014 WL 12613519 (C.D. Cal. May 29, 15 2014) ("18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1341 and 1343 are criminal wire fraud statutes. They do not create civil 16 causes of action, nor do they give this [clourt permission to hear state contract claims"). The same is said for the violation of criminal statute 18 U.S.C. \$ 1028. Murphy v. JP Morgan Chase, 2015 WL 2235882, at *4 (E.D. Cal. May 11, 2015); see also Lassetter v. Brand, 2011 WL 4712188, *2 (W.D. Wash. Oct.4, 2011) (holding that 18 U.S.C. \$ 1028 provides no private right of action and cannot 20 form basis for civil suit).

Nor does this court have diversity jurisdiction over the underlying litigation. A federal 22 court's diversity jurisdiction extends "to all civil actions where the matter in controversy 23 exceeds . . . \$75,000 . . . and is between . . . [c]itizens of different [s]tates." 28 U.S.C. \$ 1332(a)(1). 24 This action involves Colvin—a Nevada resident who lives in the property at the heart of this 25 dispute—and Tako, a Nevada limited liability company. For purposes of diversity jurisdiction, a 26 limited liability company (LLC) has the citizenship of the state in which its members are

l citizens. 28 U.S.C. \$ 1332(a)(1); see also Johnson v. Columbia Props. Anchorage, LP, 437 F.3d 894 (9th Cir. 2006). The members of Tako are residents of Clark County, Nevada. ECF No. 4 at 3. Accordingly, complete diversity does not exist because Colvin and Tako are both Nevada residents.

District courts have discretion to decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction if: "(1) the claim raises a novel or complex issue of [s]tate law, (2) the claim substantially predominates over the claim or claims over which the district court has original jurisdiction, (3) the district court has dismissed all claims over which it has original jurisdiction, or (4) in exceptional circumstances, there are other compelling reasons for declining jurisdiction." 28 U.S.C. \$ 1367(c). 10 In evaluating these exceptions, I find that all weigh in favor of declining to exercise Il supplemental jurisdiction. Colvin's alleged claims do not raise a novel or complex issue of |s|tate 12 law, and as demonstrated supra, this court has no original jurisdiction over his allegations of violations of federal statutes. In addition, courts may decline to exercise supplemental 14 jurisdiction "in the interests of judicial economy, convenience, fairness, and comity." Rutherford v. 15 Ara Lebanese Grill, 2019 WL 1057919, at *3 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 6, 2019) (citing Carnegie-Mellon Univ. v. 16 Cohill, 484 U.S. 343, 357 (1988)). Thus, I find Colvin's pattern of using the federal court system as a loophole to delay the ongoing eviction proceedings brought against him by Tako in the Las Vegas Justice Court as a compelling reason to decline supplemental jurisdiction.

Finally, this court does not have removal jurisdiction. A defendant may remove a civil 20 action from state court to federal court if original jurisdiction would have existed in the federal 21 court at the time the complaint was filed. 28 U.S.C. \$ 1441(a). On a motion to remand, the 22 removing defendant must overcome the "strong presumption against removal jurisdiction" and 23 establish that removal is proper. Hunter v. Philip Morris USA, 582 F.3d 1039, 1042 (9th Cir. 2009) [24] (quoting Gaus v. Miles, Inc., 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992)). Due to this strong presumption against removal jurisdiction, the court resolves all ambiguity in favor of remand to state court. 26 Gaus, 980 F.2d at 566. Here, Colvin moved to remove the state-court proceeding to this court.

19

Not only is removal in this action not proper because this court does not have original 2 jurisdiction over the case, but also, only defendants—not plaintiffs—may remove civil actions I from state to federal court. 28 U.S.C. \$ 1446 ("A defendant . . . desiring to remove any civil action from a [s]tate court shall file in the district court of the United States for the district and division within such action is pending . . . ")(emphasis added). "The removal statute is strictly 6 construed, and any doubt about the right of removal requires resolution in favor of remand." Moore-Thomas v. Alaska Airlines, Inc., 553 F.3d 1241, 1244 (9th Cir. 2009).

Consequently, given the "strong presumption" against removal jurisdiction, I find that 9 Colvin has not met his burden of establishing that removal is proper in this action. Nishimoto v. 10|| Federman-Bachrach & Assocs., 903 F.2d 709, 712 n.3 (9th Cir. 1990); Emrich v. Touche Ross & Co., 846 11 F.2d 1190, 1195 (9th Cir. 1988). Because "the court resolves all ambiguity in favor of remand to 12|| state court," Gaus, 980 F.2d at 566, (9th Cir.1992), I grant Tako's motion to remand to state 13 court.

14|| III. Conclusion

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for removal [ECF No. 2] is 16 DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's motion to remand [ECF No. 4] is 18 GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is remanded back to the Las Vegas Justice 20 Court, Clark County, Nevada, for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.

The Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE THIS CASE.

DATED: December 5, 2022

United States District Judge

26

15

17

19

21

22

23

24

25

Activity in Case 2:22-cv-01928-CDS-NJK Colvin v. Tako, LLC Order to Show Cause

From: cmecf@nvd.uscourts.gov

To: cmecfhelpdesk@nvd.uscourts.gov

Date: Monday, November 28, 2022 at 01:08 PM PST

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mall box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

United States District Court

District of Nevada

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 11/28/2022 at 1:07 PM PST and filed on 11/28/2022

Case Name: Colvin v. Tako, LLC

Case Number: <u>2:22-cv-01928-CDS-NJK</u>

Filer:

Document Number: 10

Docket Text:

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Declared a Vexatious Litigant. Show Cause Response due by 12/9/2022. Signed by Judge Cristina D. Silva on 11/28/2022. (Copies have been distributed pursuant to the NEF - HAM)

2:22-cv-01928-CDS-NJK Notice has been electronically mailed to:

P. Sterling Kerr sterling@kerrsimpsonlaw.com, george@sterlingkerrlaw.com, jennifer@sterlingkerrlaw.com, lisa@sterlingkerrlaw.com, maury@sterlingkerrlaw.com, taylor@sterlingkerrlaw.com

Taylor Simpson taylor@kerrsimpsonlaw.com, anika@kerrsimpsonlaw.com, breana@kerrsimpsonlaw.com, jennifer@kerrsimpsonlaw.com, lisa@kerrsimpsonlaw.com, suzanne@kerrsimpsonlaw.com

Parnell Colvin pc681@yahoo.com

2:22-cv-01928-CDS-NJK Notice has been delivered by other means to:

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1101333072 [Date=11/28/2022] [FileNumber=10924871 -0] [a83983e96297183cef499fb176b468da4deb0586acf686bae158c059deeeff858 36787e2f880f5ddd824fe10afb35a542baecd25d59c2af3b612ff12ef301f54]]